

VSP Public Comment

From: robinsunbeam@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2005 6:51 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs
Cc: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

First of all, Diebold is a corrupt partisan company run by unethical neocon administrators. I don't want any voting system in California to be controlled by criminals like Diebold!!!

Second, the data printed on thermal paper fades rapidly when exposed to body heat or sunlight, and becomes illegible when crumpled in a pocket or wallet. It also becomes illegible when exposed to humidity or moisture. Even if such a receipt could survive the trip home from the voting booth, it degrades and turns black within a couple of months.

DO NOT USE THERMAL PAPER FOR A PAPER TRAIL.

DO NOT USE ANY PRODUCT MADE BY DIEBOLD.

KEEP DIEBOLD OUT OF CALIFORNIA!!!!!!!

Ms. Robin Cole Sunbeam
PO Box 1133
Ukiah, CA 95482

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.